

Full graph and complete Lie algebra

BinYong HSIE

{ Department of Mathematics, PeKing University, BeiJing, 100871, P.R. China }

E-mail: xiebingyong1@sina.com.cn

2003.10

Abstract

We introduce a concept: d -complete, and show that a Lie algebra is d -complete if and only if its full graph is complete.

Key words and phrases d -derivation, d -complete Lie algebra, complete Lie algebra, full graph

MR(2000) Subject Classification: 17B45, 17B55, 17B56

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a Lie algebra. We will introduce two concepts: d -derivation and d -center. Let $Der(\mathcal{G})$ be the derivation algebra of $\mathcal{G}$.

A d -derivation of $\mathcal{G}$, means a linear operator L from $Der(\mathcal{G})$ to $\mathcal{G}$ such that

$$L([D_1, D_2]) = D_1(L(D_2)) - D_2(L(D_1)) \quad \forall D_1, D_2 \in Der(\mathcal{G}) \quad (1).$$

We write $\mathcal{D}$ for the set of d -derivations.

The d -center of $\mathcal{G}$, means the set

$$C_d(\mathcal{G}) \doteq \{g \in \mathcal{G} : D(g) = 0 \quad \forall D \in Der(\mathcal{G})\} \quad (2).$$

For any $g \in \mathcal{G}$, we can define a d -derivation L_g of $\mathcal{G}$:

$$L_g(D) = -D(g) \quad \forall D \in Der(\mathcal{G}) \quad (3).$$

Such a d -derivation is called inner d -derivation.

For any two d -derivations L_1, L_2 , define $[L_1, L_2]$ by

$$[L_1, L_2](D) = L_1(ad(L_2(D))) - L_2(ad(L_1(D))) \quad \forall D \in Der(\mathcal{G}) \quad (4),$$

then $[L_1, L_2]$ is a d -derivation. And it is easy to check that $(\mathcal{D}, [.,.])$ is a Lie algebra. If $C_d(\mathcal{G})$ is trivial, $\mathcal{G}$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{D}$.

If $C_d(\mathcal{G})$ is trivial and all d -derivations are inner, $\mathcal{G}$ is called d -complete. In this case, there is a isomorphism from $\mathcal{G}$ to $\mathcal{D}$: $g \mapsto L_g$.

We have a homomorphism from $Der(\mathcal{G})$ to the derivation algebra $Der(\mathcal{D})$ of $\mathcal{D}$ by setting:

$$D(L) \doteq D \circ L - L \circ ad(D) \quad \forall L \in \mathcal{D}, D \in Der(\mathcal{G}) \quad (5).$$

We can now define semidirect product $\mathcal{H} = Der(\mathcal{G}) \times_t \mathcal{D}$, a Lie algebra, by

$$[(D_1, L_1), (D_2, L_2)] = ([D_1, D_2], [L_1, L_2] + D_1(L_2) - D_2(L_1)) \quad (6).$$

We can make $\mathcal{H}$ act on $C(\mathcal{G}) = Der(\mathcal{G}) \times_t \mathcal{D}$ as derivations:

$$D_{(D,L)}(D_1, g) = ([D, D_1], D(g) + L(ad(g)) + L(D_1)) \quad (7).$$

In fact we have:

Theorem 1. $\mathcal{H}$ is the derivation algebra $Der(C(\mathcal{G}))$ of the full graph $C(\mathcal{G})$ of $\mathcal{G}$.

Proof. A direct calculation shows that

1. $D_{(D,L)}$ is a derivation,
 2. $[D_{(D_1,L_1)}, D_{(D_2,L_2)}] = D_{[(D_1,L_1), (D_2,L_2)]}$,
 3. $D_{(D,L)} = 0$ if and only if $D = 0$ and $L = 0$.
- So $\mathcal{H}$ is a Lie subalgebra of $Der(C(\mathcal{G}))$.

Now, we will show that every derivation D of $Der(C(\mathcal{G}))$ is in $\mathcal{H}$. We write $Der(\mathcal{G})$ for $(Der(\mathcal{G}), 0)$ and $\mathcal{G}$ for $(0, \mathcal{G})$. Write $D = (T_1, T_2)$. First we show that $T_1|_{\mathcal{G}} = 0$.

By

$$D([(0, g_1), (D, g_2)]) = [D(0, g_1), (D, g_2)] + [(0, g_1), D(D, g_2)] \quad (8),$$

we obtain

$$-T_1(D(g_1)) + T_1([g_1, g_2]) = [T_1(g_1), D] \quad (9).$$

Formula (9) shows that

$$T_1|_{[\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}]} = 0 \quad (10)$$

and that

$$-T_1(D(g)) = [T_1(g), D] \quad (11).$$

Therefore

$$[T_1(g), D] = 0 \quad \forall D \in ad(\mathcal{G}).$$

So $T_1(g) = 0$ and $T_1|_{\mathcal{G}} = 0$.

We have

$$T_2([D_1, D_2]) = D_1(T_2(D_2)) - D_2(T_2(D_1)).$$

So $T_2|_{Der(\mathcal{G})} = L \in \mathcal{D}$.

By setting $\tilde{D} = D - D_{(0,L)}$, we may assume that $T_2|_{Der(\mathcal{G})} = 0$. We know that $T_1|_{Der(\mathcal{G})}$ is a derivation of $Der(\mathcal{G})$.

Since $T_1|_{\mathcal{G}} = 0$, $T_2|_{\mathcal{G}}$ is a derivation D' of $\mathcal{G}$. Now

$$D[(D, 0), (0, g)] = [(D, 0), D(0, g)] + [D(D, 0), (0, g)],$$

shows that

$$T_2(D(g)) = D(T_2(g)) + (T_1(D))(g),$$

that is

$$T_1(D) = [D', D].$$

So $D = D_{(D', 0)}$. We have now showed that $D \in \mathcal{H}$. □

We have a easy lemma:

Lemma. The center of $C(\mathcal{G})$ is $(0, C_d(\mathcal{G}))$

We have the following corollary of theorem 1 and the above lemma:

Theorem 2. A Lie algebra is d -complete if and only if its full graph is complete.

References:

[1] Hsie B.Y., On complete Lie algebra, Science in China, in appear.

[2] Meng D.J., Complete lie algebra(in Chinese), BeiJing: Press of science,2001.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PEKING UNIVERSITY, BEIJING,
P.R. CHINA.

E-mail address: xiebingyong1@sina.com.cn